

Don't Cut RevOps...<u>Invest</u> in it

Making the Business Case for RevOps

How do successful leaders allocate resources? > Peacetime vs Wartime RevOps Leaders have different priorities

Keeping Status Quo

Thinking SI and Tools

Print Heads

Challenge Status Quo

Think EBITDA and Platform

Do More with Less

Why is RevOps investment critical in Wartime? → Business Problem: The Status Quo is expensive



"Optimizing territory design can **increase** sales by 2 to 7%, *without any change in total resources or sales strategy*" Harvard Business Review

★fullcast.io

How does the focus shift? → Wartime leaders focus on optimizing for strategy

OperationsStrategy & PlanningConversational IntelligenceImage: Territory ManagementEnablement ToolsImage: Territory PlanningCPQImage: Quota and Target SettingIncentive CalculationImagement & ForecastingPipeline Management & ForecastingImagement & Strategy & PlanningRoutingStrategy & Planning

CRM Data Governance

How can you demonstrate the RevOps ROI? > Explore these key areas

Cost of Sales

- Evaluate current cost of sales as a percentage of revenue, and how has it changed over time?
- How many "effective" sales reps are currently employed, and what is their average productivity and tenure?

Territory Optimization

- How much revenue is lost due to territories being too big or too small?
- What is the current revenue per territory, and how has it changed over time?

Enable "Team Selling"

- Are we duplicating work across the GTM lifecycle?
- Do we have systems in place to orchestrate and drive transparency into utilization of resources across the GTM cycle?

Systems Overview

- How much time and resources have been spent on developing a homegrown solution, and what were the associated costs and risks?
- What can you no longer do with the status quo (Excel/ Google sheets /Point tools)?

Focus on Efficient growth

- Are we in the right race instead of how fast can we go?
- How do we maximize productivity of our team and anticipate GTM investment/Performance Management?
- How do we create more "Team Selling" when times are tough?

Define and Enforce Rules.

Rules drive clarity, help performance management, keep things efficient.

Help the leadership to help you

- It's our job to help explain ROI on tools and Platform
- Connect investment decisions to EBITDA
 - Impact of Higher Rep Attrition (Bad Attrition)
 - Impact on Ramp Time
 - Impact on Rep Attainment %
 - Impact on CSM/Renewal Assignment and productivity

Good Intentions don't Scale. Processes do.

\rightarrow How do you paint the Before and After Picture?

Before	After
 100% exception-based assignments. Every account is assigned via data load. Manual, error-prone, dispersed process of assigning accounts/territories. Very hard to do. Limited to once a year. Impossible to keep up to date. 	 Rule-based territories built for each role, with each leader. Ability to balance assignments – by size of opportunity (Sales), load (CS), or anything else. GTM directly connected with SFDC – easy to make on-demand adjustments. Ability to proactively manage attrition and growth (e.g., time stamp, temporary assignments.)
Negative Consequences	Positive Outcomes
 Assignment of sellers to accounts is arbitrary, unbalanced, and unfair. Assignments are wrong just days after they are made. Missed cross-sell opportunities and a poor customer experience. Undermines other investments. If data and territories are bad, it doesn't matter if hiring and training are good. 	 Higher ARR per rep and lower cost of sales because the right sellers are focused on the right accounts and opportunities. Increased transparency across the entire GTM organization, leading to lower attrition and cost savings (~ \$1M per attritted rep.) Reduced seller ramp time. Territories are assigned on day 1. Reduced customer churn and greater expansion revenue.

★fullcast.io

Thank you & Questions

Dharmesh Singh dharmesh@fullcast.io

Tyler Simons tyler@fullcast.io

Trusted By:



